

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16198 of 2015

-
1. Ma Mundeshwari Development Council, Bhagwanpur, Kaimur (Bhabua) through its Member Ram Prasad Singh, Son of Late Sankardayal Singh Resident of Umapur, P.S. Bhagwanpur, District - Kaimur Bhabua
 2. Sudarsan Singh Son of Late Gariban Singh Resident of Village - Ramgarh, P.S. Bhagwanpur, District - Kaimur
 3. Ram Krit Pandey Son of Late Lalita Pandey Resident of village - Ramgarh, P.S. Bhagwanpur, District - Kaimur Petitioner No. 2 and 3 are Volunteers Duly Appointed by Respondent No. 2

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar Hindu Religious Trust Board through its Chairman.
2. The District Magistrate, Kaimur Bhabua
3. The Block Development officer, Bhagwanpur Block, District Kaimur Bhabua
4. The Tourism and Cultural Development Council through its Care - Taker Ajimuddin Son of Rajak Mian Resident of Village - Ramgarh, P.S. Bhagwanpur, District - Kaimur Bhabua
5. The Superintending Archeologist, Archeologist Archaeological Survey of India, Patna Circle, J.C. Ro
6. Office of Conservation Assistant Sasaram Sub - Circle, Sasaram, Archeological Survey of India, Gove

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Rakesh Prabhat, AC to SC-21
For the Board	:	Mr. Ganpati Trivedi, Sr.Adv. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2019 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner to press this writ application.

Mr. Ganpati Trivedi, learned senior counsel representing the Bihar Hindu Religious Trust Board has placed before this Court a copy of the memo no. 1758 dated 02.09.2015



issued under the signature of the then Chairman of the Trust Board showing that in exercise of its power under Section 32 of the Bihar Hindu Religious Trust Board Act, 1950 read with its Bye-laws No.43(D), the Board has constituted a Committee for smooth management and development of Ma Mundeshwari Temple, Bhagwanpur, Kaimur. Learned counsel submits that the Committee is functional and is looking after the management of the temple. It is submitted that the said notification of the Trust Board is not under challenge, therefore, the writ application as framed cannot be entertained.

Since no one is appearing on behalf of the petitioner to press this writ application, the writ application is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

