

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 21564 of 2014

1. Ranjan Kumar Tanti, son of Chulho Tanti
2. Ratan Kumar Pan, son of Kedar Tanti
3. Rajesh Kumar Tanti, son of Shiv Shankar Tanti All residents of village - Kalyanpur, Ward No. 21, Jamui, P.S. and Distt. - Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through District Magistrate, Jamui
2. Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. Divisional Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger
5. District Magistrate, Jamui
6. Sub Divisional Officer Cum Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jamui
7. District Program officer (Establishment), Jamui
8. Nazarat Deputy Collector, Jamui

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Prabhat Ranjan Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr Satya Deo Kumar, SC V

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-02-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the respondent-State.

2 Claim of the petitioners for regularization has been rejected by the respondent-authorities. The specific stand is that the petitioners' work was found beyond the zone of consideration in view of the limited available vacancies as per duly approved roster.

3 Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted, with reference to the rejoinder, that it is specifically asserted by the



petitioners that ignoring the claim of the petitioners, others, who were ineligible, have been accommodated.

4 Specific stand of the respondents in the counter affidavit giving details of petitioners' position in the panel prepared is disputed by making vague assertion. The stand of the respondents, therefore, appears to be correct as none, below the petitioners, has been considered for regularization on the panel prepared. The petitioners cannot have any grievance of his claim being ignored in the process of regularization.

5 Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in future panel, petitioners should be allowed to participate as per their merit.

6 No separate direction is required as this order will not preclude petitioners' consideration in any subsequent process of regularization as per the extant provisions.

7 The writ petition is devoid of merit and the same is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.02.2019
Transmission Date	NA

