

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19174 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-310 Year-2018 Thana- MADANPUR District-
Aurangabad

=====

Rajoo Singh aged about 30 years, male, Son of Mahendra Singh Resident
of Village - Dihulee, P.S.- Madanpur, Distt - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mrs. Leelawati Kumari, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 29-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Section 414 of the Indian Penal Code registered in
connection with Madanpur P.S. Case No. 310 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated merely on the accusation that the stolen *Bolero*
vehicle was found parked near the house of the petitioner. Even
though it has been mentioned that some of the villagers had
named the petitioner, the seizure list has not signed by any
independent witness. Admittedly, the recovery has been made
not from the house of the petitioner but from near his house. The
petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named
petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each



to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad in connection with Madanpur P.S. Case No. 310 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

lbrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

