

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6026 of 2019

=====

Ajay Prasann Singh, S/o Late Hansh Raj Singh, resident of Fariyani, P.S.- K. Hat (Maranga), District Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reform, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Energy Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Purnea.
4. The Sub- Division Officer, Purnea.
5. The Circle Officer, Purnea East, District- Purnea.
6. The Superintending Engineer, Purnea Division, Bihar Electricity Board, Purnea.
7. The Executive Engineer, Purnea Division, Bihar Electricity Board, Purnea.
8. The Sub- Divisional Electrical Engineer, Madhubani Sub- Division, Bihar Electricity Board, Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bhola Prasad Mr. Indrajeet Kumar
For the Respondent State:		Ms. Archana Prasad, AC to SC-19
For Electricity Board	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma, Mr. Akhileshwar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2019 Heard learned Counsel for the parties concerned.

The petitioner claims his title over a piece of land appertaining to Khesra Nos. 2510 and 2511, Khata No. 1443, area 1 acre 32 decimals, which, according to him, has been illegally occupied by North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited by raising boundary wall. It is his case that earlier there was wrong entry in the record of rights, which was subsequently



corrected and the land, in question, as on date, stands in the name of the petitioner.

The question of title or possession cannot be gone into in a proceeding under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

If it is the case of the petitioner that his title is not at all in dispute and the company has unauthorisedly occupied the land, he can avail the statutory remedy under the Bihar Right to Public Grievance Redressal Act, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as ‘the Act’), by making appropriate application.

If that is done, the Court expects that the competent authority/ the Public Grievance Redressal Officer shall dispose of petitioner’s case within the time stipulated under the Act.

This application is disposed of with the observation as above.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

ragini/-

U	✓		
---	---	--	--

