

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16430 of 2015**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-518 Year-2011 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

-
-
1. Akhilesh Rajak son of Madhu Rajak
 2. Gore Rajak son of Madhu Rajak
 3. Veena Kumari wife of Gore Rajak@Madhu Rajak
 4. Madhu Rajak @Madho Rajak son of Late Chamari Rajak
All Resident of Mohalla-Dhaniya Bagicha , P.S -Delha, District-Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Arti Devi wife of Neeraj Kumar resident of Mohalla- Dhaniya Bagicha, P.S-
-Delha, District-Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh 5, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-05-2019 Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioners.

The learned counsel for the State is present.

The petitioners have challenged the order
22.11.2013 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st
Class, Gaya in Trial No. 2017 of 2013, arising out of
Complaint Case No. 518 of 2011 whereby cognizance
has been taken against the petitioners under Sections
323, 448, 397 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the absence of the learned counsel for the



petitioners, this Court is not in a position to know about the stage of the case. However, on going through the order impugned as well as the materials in the present petition, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the order of cognizance.

The petitioners would be at liberty to approach the Court below and seek discharge, if such petition has already not been filed and disposed off. If the case is running trial, the Trial Court shall conclude the proceedings as early as possible.

With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

