

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6326 of 2019

=====

Bhuneshwar Das Son of Late Dukhi Das Resident of Village- Khap Tola,
Khairwa, Ward No.17, P.S.- Mejarganj, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Rural Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Sitamarhi.
3. The District Programme Officer, Sitamarhi.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sitamarhi (Sadar) Sitamarhi.
5. The Panchayati Raj Officer, Block- Mejarganj, Sitamarhi.
6. The Block Development Officer, Block- Mejarganj, District- Sitamarhi.
7. The Mukhiy, Gram Panchayat Raj, Khairwa, Block- Mejarganj, District- Sitamarhi.
8. Khushabu Kumari Wife of Pramod Baitha Ward Member, Ward No.17, Village and Gram Panchayat Raj, Khairwa, Block- Mejarganj, District- Sitamarhi.
9. Shyamjee Sah Son of Bhikhari Sah Resident of Village- Khairwa, P.S. and Block- Mejarganj, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Narsingh Tanti, Adv. Mr. Manohar Prasad Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Harish Kumar (GP-8) Mr. Sudhanshu Bhaskar, AC to GP-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 22-11-2019 Petitioner is aggrieved by the selection/appointment of respondent no.9 on the post of Ward Secretary, Ward No.17 in Gram Panchayat Raj Khairwa, Block-Mejarganj, District- Sitamarhi. In this regard, he is said to have submitted several representations as contained in Annexure-2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 to various authorities but nobody has paid heed to his



representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent no.9 has been selected even though there are several members of his family who are in government service.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in such circumstance the Collector, Sitamarhi (respondent no.2) may be directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and issue an appropriate direction in accordance with law.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State, in the given facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court directs the Collector, Sitamarhi (respondent no.2) to look into the grievance of the petitioner, as contained in Annexure-8 to the writ application, examine the same and after hearing the petitioner as well as the private respondent no.9 an appropriate decision be taken within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

This writ application stands disposed off accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

