

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6267 of 2019

=====

Ghanshyam Nath Tiwari @ Ghanshyam Tiwari, aged about 40 years, Male,
Son of Chandrashekhar Nath Tiwari Resident of Village-Balahan (Kouahan),
P.O.-Mangurahan, P.S.-Gobindganj, Sub Division-Areraj, District-East
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Engineer In-Chief, Department of Rural Works, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
5. The District Magistrate, East Champaran.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Areraj, District-East Champaran.
7. The Block Development Officer, Areraj, District-East Champaran.
8. The Circle Officer, Areraj, District-East Champaran.
9. The Gram Panchayat Raj Mangurahan, Block-Areraj, District-East
Champaran, through the Panchayat Secretary.
10. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Mangurahan, Block-Areraj,
District-East Champaran.
11. Smt. Rinki Shukla Wife of Rinku Shukla @ Anil Kumar Shukla The
Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Mangurahan, Resident of Villlage and PO-
Damodarpur, PS-Malahi, District-East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey No.5, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Pushkar Narain Shahi, AAG6
		Mr. Sanjeet Kumar Singh, AC to AAG6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

2 01-04-2019 Heard Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Pushkar Narain Shahi, learned AAG-6,

for the State.

In the nature of the issue raised through Public



Interest which alleges financial irregularity in execution of the schemes within Mangurahan Panchayat, Block Areraj, in the district of East Champaran, we are persuaded to direct the District Magistrate, East Champaran to consider the issue raised by the petitioner as also reflected in his representation enclosed at Annexure 4 to the writ petition and dispose of the same in accordance with law within a period of three months of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

It goes without saying that should the issue raised by the petitioner find substance, any disposal shall be only after opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as those against whom such allegations have been set out.

It again goes without saying that should the District Magistrate, East Champaran opine that any consequential steps needs to be taken, he shall act accordingly.

With the observations and directions above, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

