

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19167 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2019 Thana- KHAGARIA District- Khagaria

1. RANJU DEVI Wife of Mithilesh Mahto
2. Aman Kumar Son of Mithilesh Mahto, Both are resident of village-Dan Nagar, P.S-Khagaria, District-Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar Agrawal
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ahmad Ali

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 29-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 366A/34 IPC registered in connection with Khagaria (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 65/2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated as evident from the statement of the so-called victim girl recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. wherein she has categorically stated that she had voluntarily left her residence on being scolded by her parents and had gone to the residence of her friend Puja at Begusarai and stayed there for 2-3 days. In her statement she has stated that she is willing to return to her residence. It is therefore, submitted that the ingredients of Section 366A of IPC are not satisfied. The petitioners claim clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioners arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of



learned CJM, Khagaria, in connection with Khagaria (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 65/2019 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and also subject to the following further conditions:

- i. That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioners.
- ii. That the petitioners shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.
- iii. That the petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make themselves available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.
- iv. The petitioner no. 1 shall be well represented and the petitioner no. 2 shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

