

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6905 of 2019

=====

Kumari Sarswati Wife of Sri Hari Prakash Mishra Resident of Quarter No. 2031, Sector-12A, Bokaro Steel City, P.S. Sector 12A, District-Bokaro, Jharkhand at Present Working as Block Programme Officer, E- Aliganj, District-Jamui, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Secretary, Rural development Department, Government of Bihar Patna
4. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya District-Gaya
5. The District Magistrate, Gaya District-Gaya
6. The District Magistrate Jamui District Jamui
7. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Gaya District-Gaya
8. The District Rural Development Commissioner, Gaya, District-Gaya
9. The Block Development Officer, Fatehpur, District-Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar (SC-11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-04-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner was removed from service by the order of the District Magistrate-cum-coordinator of District MANREGA Programme, Jamui dated 16.01.2019 contained in Memo No. 65. The charge against the petitioner is of instituting a fresh inquiry for the purposes of saving delinquent employees when she was only asked



to give her report about the functioning of the employees in a particular area.

3. The petitioner was asked for her explanation which she gave but such explanation was not found to be worthy of reliance and such punishment of removal from service was slapped upon her by the order impugned.

4. Against the aforesaid order, it appears that the petitioner has preferred an appeal before the Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar on 25.01.2019. It is expected that such appeal preferred by the petitioner would be disposed of within a reasonable period preferably within a period of three months from the date of production of a copy of this order.

4. With the aforesaid direction/observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	30.04.2019
Transmission Date	

