

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2300 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3399 of 2011

1. Sanju Devi wife of Sri Rabindra Kumar, resident of Village- Andhar, P.S. Suryapura, District-Rohtas at present Sarpanch Shiohar, Gram Panchayat, Block & P.S. Suryapura, District-Rohtas.

2. Vijaymal Singh son of Sri Ramashray Singh, resident of Village Khewai, P.S. Suryapura, District Rohtas, (Sasaram) at present Up-Sarpanch Shiohar, Gram Panchayat, P.S. Suryapura, District-Rohtas (Sasaram).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna, Sri Mriyank Das.

2. The Collector, Rohtas (Sasaram) Sri Animesh Kumar Parasar.

3. The Panchayati Raj Padadhikari, Rohtas (Sasaram), Sri Sanjay Kumar Singh.

4. The Block Development Officer, Block Suryapura District Rohtas (Sasaram), Sri Rajeev Ranjan Kumar.

... .. Opposite party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Prasad Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raju Giri, GP-30

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-01-2019 Having regard to the averments made in paragraph no. 5 to 7 of the show cause filed by the opposite parties which is quoted herein below :

“.....5. That Secretary of the Gram Katechary submitted the record of the concerned period i.e. of the year 2006-11 and on that basis calculation of the admissible dues of the petitioner was done vide Memo No. 60 dated 19.01.2017.

Xerox copy of Memo No. 60 dt. 19.01.17 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure-C to this show cause.



6. That accordingly payments to the petitioners have been made through cheque Nos. 733113 & 733114 respectively.

Xerox copies of the cheques are annexed herewith and marked as Annexure-D & E respectively to this show cause.

7. That the petitioners also received the cheques.

Xerox copy of the receiving is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure-F to this show cause.”

On perusal of the aforesaid, it is manifest that the opposite parties have carried out the direction issued by this Court and decided the claim of the petitioner with regard to payments.

In view of the above, the Court is not inclined to proceed any further. However, liberty shall be available to the petitioner, if he is aggrieved by the calculation and evaluation of the admissible dues, he may approach the appropriate forum/Court for redressal of grievance.

With the aforesaid, the present application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

mdrashid/-

U			
---	--	--	--

