

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9854 of 2019

Rambali Paswan son of Late Chhathu Bhagat Resident of Village- Sultanpur,
P.S. Industrial Area, Hajipur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Joint Director of Consolidation, Bihar, Patna.
3. Deputy Director of Consolidation, Vaishali at Hajipur.
4. Nutan Kumari wife of Ashok Kumar Resident of Village- Loma, P.S.- Tisiauta, District- Vaishali.
5. Dharamsheela Devi wife of Vijayballah Kumar Resident of Village- Baisha, P.S.- Parbatta, District- Khagaria.
6. Chandra Bhushan Kumar son of Late Balram Prasad Resident of Village- Loma, P.S.- Tisiauta, District- Vaishali.
7. Umesh Prasad son of Late Balram Prasad Resident of Village- Loma, P.S.- Tisiauta, District- Vaishali.
8. Ragini Kumari Daughter of Late Balram Prasad Resident of Village- Loma, P.S.- Tisiauta, District- Vaishali.
9. Tarakhnath Prasad son of Sonelal Sah Resident of Village- Sahpur Uandi, P.S.- Patori, District- Vaishali.
10. Shambhunath Sah son of Ramalgan Sah Resident of Village- Bhairapur, P.S.- Badupur, District- Vaishali.
11. Sudarshan Kumar Son of Late Rambilas Sah Resident of Village- Hansapur Surat, P.S.- Patori, District- Samastipur.
12. Ram Priya Gosai @ Ram Priya Goswami Chela of Jogeswar Gosai Resident of Village- Mile, P.S.- Bidupur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 02-05-2019 Though the matter has been listed with certain office notes pointing out certain defects, considering the facts and circumstances, the case has been heard on merits, ignoring those defects, in the interest of justice.



An order of the Deputy Director Consolidation (Headquarters), Patna, dated 25.05.2017, passed in Revision Case No.219 of 2014 is under challenge in the present writ application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

It is not the case of the petitioner, as is evident from the grounds taken, that the order, which has been passed, is beyond jurisdiction or in breach of the principles of natural justice. The petitioner has alternative remedy of approaching the Bihar Land Tribunal against the order impugned, which he has not done.

This application is, thus, disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the Tribunal and if he does so, the same shall be considered and disposed of in accordance with law.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

