

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 23546 of 2019

Arising Out of P.S. Case No.-352 Year-2018 Thana- SHEOSAGAR District- Rohtas

-
1. Ramjee Bind @ Ram Jee Binad age about 60 years (male) Son of Late Sakichand Bind Resident of Village- Sewaali, P.S.- Sheosagar, District- Rohtas.
 2. Monako Devi, aged about 58 years (Female) Wife of Ramjee Bind Resident of Village- Sewaali, P.S.- Sheosagar, Disttict- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jai Prakash Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-07-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with
Sheosagar PS Case No. 352 of 2018 dated 26.10.2018 instituted
under Sections 304(B) and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioners and two others
is of burning the daughter of the informant, who was married to
the son of the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that
the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the
deceased and they were living separately from the deceased and
her husband. It was submitted that the girl died of natural cause
and was not killed. It was further submitted that the family
members of the deceased took part in the funeral.



5. Learned APP, upon going through the case diary, submitted that the petitioners being the elders in the house cannot shirk from their responsibility for death has taken in the matrimonial home. It was further submitted that witnesses have stated that the petitioners used to taunt the deceased that she was possessed of evil spirit. Learned counsel submitted that the conduct of the petitioners would be clear from the fact that there was no attempt by them to take the deceased to any doctor if at all she had suffered any ailment and, thus, without a doctor certifying death and so quickly burning the body without informing anybody, especially the relatives of the deceased, clearly indicates that they wanted to hide the truth.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

