

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16829 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-896 Year-2017 Thana- AURANGABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Aurangabad

=====

MD. AZAZ HUSSAIN Son of Md. Mojib Hussain Resident of Village - Tikri
Mohalla Azad Nagar, P.s.- Aurangabad (Town), Distt.- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. Reshma Khatoon Wife of Md. Azaz Hussain, D/o Late Alim Hussain,
Resident of Village - Mohalla Tikri More Azad Nagar, P.S.- Aurangabad
(Town), Distt.- Aurangabad, Presently residing at Village - Phesra, P.O.-
Lahta, P.s- Pauthu, Distt.- Aurangabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhanu Pratap Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Nath Jha

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 20-09-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State as also the learned counsel for the

opposite party no.2.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case No.896 of 2017/Tr. 1851 of 2018
registered under Section 147, 323, 504, 379 and 498A of the
Indian Penal Code besides Sections 3/4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
counsel for the opposite party no.2 submit that on their joint
prayer, earlier, the matter was referred to the Patna High Court



Mediation Centre, Patna, for mediation and settlement of the dispute in between the petitioner and the opposite party no.2, who are husband and wife respectively, where the petitioner and the opposite party no.2 settled their dispute in terms of the memorandum of agreement dated 16.08.2019, which is the part of the report dated 16.08.2019 of the Mediator, Patna High Court Mediation Centre, Patna, and, accordingly, the petitioner and the opposite party no.2 are living together and are leading their conjugal life happily and, now, they have no grievance with each other.

Having considered the facts and the circumstances of the case and also considering the above-stated submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the opposite party no.2, the provisional anticipatory bail, as granted to the petitioner, above named, vide order dated 25.03.2019 passed by a Bench of this Court, is, hereby, confirmed.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

