

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6631 of 2019

=====

Indrajeet Kumar S/o Kamleshwari Yadav R/o Ward No. 8, Hathi Das Tola,
Gandhi Path, P.S. Saharsa, Dist-Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home,
Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary Department of Home, Bihar, Patna
3. The Chairman Central Selection Commission (Constable Recruitment),
Bihar, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Amresh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Saroj Kumar Sharma, AC to AAG-3
For the B.P.S.C.	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, Advocate
		Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 24-04-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court for a
direction to the respondent/Central Selection Board
(Constable Recruitment), Bihar for appointing the
petitioner on the post of Constable Driver.

3. It appears that after having cleared all hurdles
in the examination, no appointment letter was issued to
the petitioner.



4. Mr. Sanjay Pandey, learned advocate for the Board has informed this Court that at the time of verification of the documents furnished by aspirants/applicants, including the petitioner, it was found that the handwriting/fingerprints and signature of some of the candidates did not match with their original handwriting/fingerprints in the form as well as in the attendance sheet at the time of mains examination. It was therefore doubted whether such persons had appeared in the examination or had managed to have others write the examination for them. For the aforesaid purpose a case was lodged vide Gardanibagh P.S. Case No. 73 of 2019 in which the petitioner also has been arraigned as one of the accused persons and which FIR is still pending investigation.

5. In the aforesaid background facts, no direction can be issued to the respondent Board for issuing any appointment letter to the petitioner.



6. It would be open for the petitioner to agitate his claim if in investigation, he is exonerated.

7. With the aforesaid direction/observation, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.04.2019
Transmission Date	

