

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 8019 of 2015

Kundani Devi, Wife of Late Shiv Narayan Singh, Resident of Village –
Mahmadpur, PO – Jagatpur, PS – Dhoraiya, District -Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1 The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old
Secretariat, Patna

2 The Divisional Commissioner, Bhagalpur

3 The District Magistrate, Banka

4 The District Programme Officer, Banka

5 The Child Development Project Officer (CDPO), Dhoraiya, District -
Banka

... .. Respondent/s

For the Petitioner/s : M/s Dharendra Nath Jha, Chandra Shekhar Sharma,
Advocates

For the State : Mr. Ranjan Kumar, AC to GA XII

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 17-07-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondent-State.

2 Writ petition has been filed as the petitioner, who was
working as Angan Bari Sevika, has been removed by the order
passed by the District Programme Officer on 24.01.2012.
petitioner has also assailed the order passed by the Collector,
Banka rejecting her appeal. The order of the Collector is dated
12.06.2013. Petitioner had preferred a revision before the
Commissioner. On account of her non-appearance, the petitioner's



appeal/revision has been rejected by the Commissioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only due to absence of a single day, i.e. 03.12.2011, the petitioner has been visited with the extreme punishment of cancellation of her selection.

3 Order of the Collector reveals that petitions were being given regularly alleging absence of the petitioner. It is pursuant to such large scale allegations from the beneficiary area that the inspection was done on 03.12.2011 wherein, admittedly, the Center was closed. Neither the petitioner nor the Sahayika was present. The inspection dated 03.12.2011 was to confirm the allegations of continued absence and irregular running for some period before the inspection. The conduct of the petitioner, therefore, has been found to be such so as to frustrate the welfare measures for which the Angan Bari Center was established. Pursuant to the orders cancelling the petitioner's selection, by now, whether selection process have been conducted afresh or not is also unknown.

4 Be that as it may, the order of the Authorities is only in furtherance of public interest and for proper implementation of the social benefit scheme under the Integrated Child Development Scheme and after due opportunity to the petitioner.



5 Orders do not require any interference. Writ petition
is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	27.07.2019
Transmission Date	NA

