

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14922 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2019 Thana- KANTI THARMAL POWER District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

RAJ KISHORE SAHANI, Son of Satrudhan Sahani of Village - Nariyar
Nawada, P.S.- Motipur, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Sharda Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP representing the State.

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in connection
with Kanti P.S. Case No. 20 of 2019 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 30(a), 41 and 44 of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is
stated that he has got no concern with the seized illicit liquor as
nothing has been recovered from the house of the petitioner. The
name of the petitioner has been dragged in this case showing
him as a partner of the alleged offence of liquor business.

Learned APP for the State is present and has opposed



the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stating inter alia that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent which will appear from paragraph 3 of the application. It mentions at least two cases of similar nature against the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case particularly the criminal antecedent of the petitioner in similar nature of offence, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer is refused.

In case, the petitioner surrenders and prays for regular bail in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, the same shall be considered on its own merit and on the basis of the materials available on the record without being prejudiced by the order of this Court and the same shall not be rejected only because this Court has refused to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

