

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15503 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-481 Year-2017 Thana- DEHRI TOWN District- Rohtas

RAHUL KUMAR @ KALLU, son of Bimal Singh @ Vimal Singh, r/o
village-Katar, P.S.-Indrapuri, District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Ataul Haque, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER**

3/ 29-05-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Sessions Trial No.400 of 2017**
arising out of Dehri Town P.S. Case No.481 of 2017 instituted
for the offence under Section(s) 324, 307, 302, 120-B Indian
Penal Code pending in the Court of the **Additional Sessions
Judge, 7th, Rohtas at Sasaram.**

It is alleged in the written report that on the date of
occurrence the petitioner along with two other accused persons
armed with dagger entered into the house of the informant while
she was sleeping with her daughter. They assaulted the
informant with dagger causing injury on her right eye. The
daughter of informant, Mansha Kumari, came to save her then
she was also given dagger blow by the petitioner and other



accused persons causing serious injuries to her. She started shivering in the courtyard. The accused persons fled away on raising halla. The informant along with her daughter was taken to hospital for treatment where daughter of informant was declared dead.

The Additional Sessions Judge has mentioned in the impugned order that informant in her further statement has supported the case. It is mentioned in the postmortem report that doctor has found several injuries on the person of deceased daughter of the informant caused by blunt object and sharp cut weapon, which were grievous in nature. It is also mentioned in para 123 of the case diary that petitioner has criminal antecedents.

In such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail is **rejected**.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and make all efforts to conclude the same as early as possible, preferably, within a period of nine months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Liberty is given to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after nine months, if no substantive progress is made in



the trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

J. Alam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

