

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22538 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1022 Year-2014 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Anand Kumar Jha (Male) age about 32 years, S/o- Lambodar Jha R/o Village-
Baliya, Post- Teesee Narasam, P.S.- Beni Pattee, District- Madhubani. At
Present Resident Amreet Dham, Building No. 10, Room No. 302, Near
Yogidham Gauri Pada Kalyan West, P.S.- Kharak Para, District Thane
Maharashtra, Pin- 421301.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shweta Kumari Jha (Female), age 27 years, D/o- Vinod Kumar Jah R/o-
Kaligawan, P.S.- Singhwada, District- Darbhanga, at present Mohalla- New
Mithila Colony near Sant Domanik School Biscute Factory Shiv Nasariganj
Parwati Kunj, P.O. and P.S.- Danapur, Patna.

... .. Opposite Partirs

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Jitendra Kr. Singh, APP
For Opp. Party No. 2	:	Mt. Ghanshyam Tiwary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2019 The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged
under Sections 498(A) and 504 of the Indian Penal Code registered
in connection with Complaint Case No. 1022 (C) of 2014.

2. None appears on behalf of the petitioner despite repeated
calls. The matter was passed over yesterday when the petitioner had
not appeared.

3. Learned counsel for the complainant appears and opposes
the anticipatory bail petition, submitting that the petitioner is
deliberately not cooperating. Reference is invited to the order dated
17.11.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 3190 of 2016 wherein the
petitioner along with other persons had sought quashing of the
complaint and had held out that the parties have amicably settled
their dispute outside the court. The petitioner had expressed his
readiness to pay Rs. 5,00,000/- (Rupees five lakhs) by way of



demand draft to the complainant on or before 5th December, 2017. By subsequent order dated 06.09.2018 in the said case it was noted that last chance was given to settle the dispute but the dispute could not be settled. It is stated that the petitioner has still not made any payment to the complainant despite his undertaking before the court as stated above.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the complainant and having regard to the nature of complaint and conduct of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to him. The anticipatory bail petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

BT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

