

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17822 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-175 Year-2018 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

JAY PRAKASH MANJHI, Son of Kishun Manjhi, Resident of Village -
Khajuri, P.S- Panapur, Distt.- Saran at Chapra, Presently Posted as Panchayat
Teacher at Primary School, Khajuri, P.S.- Panapur, Distt.- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravindra Nath Dubey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Mishra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-07-2019 The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Panapur P.S.Case No. 175 of 2018 registered under Sections
409, 419,420,467,468,471 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that petitioner got
appointment on the post of Panchayat Teacher on the basis of
forged matriculation marksheet. The FIR has been lodged on the
basis of written report of police inspector of Vigilance
Investigation Bureau, Patna, pursuant to the order passed by this
Court in CWJC No. 15459 of 2014. It is alleged that petitioner
made interpolation in the matriculation marksheet after
increasing his marks got employment inasmuch as instead of his
actual marks of 353, in marksheet 453 marks have been shown.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner
is innocent and at the time of appointment, he had produced



certificate of matriculation before the competent authority but he is not aware how his marksheet has been changed during the period.

Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioner is beneficiary of appointment and has got the public employment on the basis of forged certificate as such he does not deserve privilege of anticipatory bail.

After having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is beneficiary of the public employment taken on the basis of forged documents, therefore, I am not inclined to grant of privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as such the same is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

sujit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

