

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16234 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-125 Year-2018 Thana- LAURIA District- West Champaran

Krishna Ram @ Krishna Kumar Ram Son of Sri Nagina Ram Resident of  
Village - Kailash Nagar, P.S.- Bagaha (Pathkhauli), Distt.- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Chandra Verma, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      21-06-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 341,323,307,302/34 of the  
Indian Penal Code.

Informant is not an eye witness of the  
occurrence, however, FIR reveals that the petitioner and his  
associates bitterly assaulted to the son of the informant, as a  
result whereof, he died. During investigation, other witnesses  
stated that the Barat Party has quarreled and fought, for some  
dispute arising out of watching the orchestra programme, and in  
that occurrence, one of the person sustained injury, as a result  
whereof, he died.

Learned counsel for the informant opposed the



prayer for bail on the ground that Panchnama executed by father of the petitioner would reveal that the father of the petitioner accepted that in the quarrel between the petitioner and deceased, the deceased sustained serious injuries and father of the petitioner took responsibility for expenses of his treatment. He further submits that some other eye witnesses have clearly stated that petitioner and his associates were assailant of the deceased. Petitioner is in custody since 22.12.2018.

This Court is not going to disclose its mind on the validity of Panchnama and its attachment with the FIR which may prejudice the trial.

However, considering the entire facts of this case and completion of investigation, in my view, the petitioner, who has got no criminal antecedent, deserves bail, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Lauriya Police Station Case No.125 of 2018, subject to the condition that both bailors shall be resident of territorial jurisdiction of the learned court below and further the petitioner shall fully cooperate with the trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at



liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

**(Birendra Kumar, J)**

Nitesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

