

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15793 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-114 Year-2018 Thana- CHIKSAUR District- Nalanda

=====

Pintu Yadav, aged about 22 years (Male), Son of Arun Yadav Resident of
Village - Jamuara (Durga Tola), P.S.- Chiksaura, District - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nawal Kishore Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chiksaura P.S. Case No. 114 of 2018 registered for offences under sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 379, 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per allegation, when the Informant along with his brothers was taking dinner, the accused persons came there and started abusing, on protest, they started to assault them by means of various weapons as also pelted stone.

The specific allegation has been made against the petitioner to have resorted to firing which passed away touching the head of the son of the Informant, namely, Pankaj Kumar but, the injury report does not reflect that Pankaj Kumar had



received any pillet injury rather the injury was found simple in nature.

Looking to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Hilsa, Nalanda in connection with Chiksaura P.S. Case No. 114 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also subject to condition that whenever the police will call the petitioner for investigation/interrogation, he will remain present and if he would not present himself, the privilege of grant of anticipatory bail shall be deemed to have been canceled.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

