

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.829 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7222 of 2015

=====

Upendra Singh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar and Ors

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shambhu Sharan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (Ga7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-07-2019 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The instant application has been filed for modification of order dated 22.01.2019 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 7222 of 2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that typing mistake has occurred in para I of writ petition with regard to description of land. Due to such typographical mistake in writ petition same description of land has been mentioned in para 2(i) of order dated 22.01.2019.

The counsel for petitioner is directed to make necessary correction in description of land in para I of writ petition.

Therefore, the corrected description of land be read in place of earlier description of land as mentioned in para 2(i) of order dated 22.01.2019, as follows:-

Khesra No. 63 (0.24 decimal)



124(0.10)decimal

179(0.19)decimal

197(0.27)decimal

216(0.06)decimal

356(0.22)decimal

358(0.11)decimal

and Khata no. 48 having Khesra No.

16(0.28) decimal

37(0.19)decimal

59(0.22)decimal

89(0.59)decimal

300(0.18)decimal

301(0.04)decimal

349(0.16)decimal

425(0.49)decimal

501(0.21)decimal

506(0.32)decimal

507(0.32)decimal

524(0.49)decimal

526(0.48)decimal

527(0.19)decimal

530(0.19)decimal



530(0.26)decimal

537(0.47)decimal

551(0.69)decimal

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in second line of para 1 of order dated 22.01.2019 inadvertently “learned counsel for informant” has been typed, which should be read as “learned counsel for the petitioner”.

Let the same be read as “learned counsel for the petitioner” in place of “learned counsel for informant”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that in first line of page 4 of order dated 22.01.2019 Mauza “Basbitta” is wrongly typed in place of Mauza “Basbatta”.

Let the same be read as Mauza “Basbatta” in place of Mauza “Basbitta”.

Accordingly, the order dated 22.01.2019 is modified as above.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

rakhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

