

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12052 of 2014

=====

Kanti Devi Wife of Manoj Kumar Resident of Village - Niranjanpur, P.O.
Diwan Ke Barkagaon, P.S. Koran Sarai, District - Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar.
2. Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme ICDS , Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna
3. District Magistrate, Buxar
4. District Programme Officer, Buxar
5. Child Development Project officer, Dumraon, District - Buxar
6. Selection Committee of Anganwari Sevika through its Secretary, Child Development Project Officer, Du
7. Urmila Devi Wife of Anirudh Ram
8. Rita Kumari wife of Sanjay Kumar both are residents of village - Niranjanpur, P.O. Diwan Ke Barkagaon, P.S. Koran Sarai, District - Buxar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Prasad Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sc19- Ajay Bihari Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-02-2019

Petitioner was the 3rd empanelled candidate in the process of selection for appointment as Anganbari Sevika at Center Anusuchit Jati Tola, Niranjanpur Centre, Panchayat Kanjharua.

It is submitted that first empanelled candidate had submitted false/forged testimonials in support of his candidature. The petitioner has raised the issue before the District Magistrate, Buxar.

Counter affidavit has been filed wherein it is stated that the issue is being inquired and unless the verification report regarding the testimonials submitted by the selected candidates



is examined, honorarium would not be paid to the selected candidates.

It is also stated by the respondents in the counter affidavit that the issue will be properly inquired and action in accordance with law would be taken. Counter affidavit has been filed after serving copy on petitioner’s counsel in September, 2015. Neither the petitioner nor the respondent State is in a position to inform this Court what has been fate of the exercise undertaken for verification. If no final decision has been taken by the respondents pursuant to the allegation made by the petitioner then this Court would observe that the final decision should be taken by the District Magistrate, Buxar, respondent no. 3 expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order in accordance with law and after hearing the parties concerned by a reasoned and speaking order.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Prakash/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

