

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5633 of 2019

=====

Amar Narayan Kumar, son of Ram Chandra Kumar, resident of Village and Post - Katka, P.S.- Singhwara, Distt.- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Mass Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Director, Integrated Child Development Service, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Indira Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
6. The Collector, Sitamarhi
7. The Child Development Project Officer, Runni Saidpur, Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kaushalesh Choudhary, Adv
		Mr. Shambhu Nath Jha, Adv
For the Respondent/s	:	Mrs. Binita Singh (SC- 28)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 11-04-2019 Mr. Kaushalesh Choudhary, learned counsel for the

petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is similar to

the case of Bipin Bihari Roy versus The State of Bihar & Ors

(C.W.J.C. No. 11936 of 2011) which was decide on

01.03.2017.

The petitioner has approached this Court for grant

of ACP and MACP which has been paid to others who are

even juniors to the petitioner and it is being unlawfully

denied to him.



If the facts of the case of the petitioner is similar to the facts of Bipin Bihari Roy versus The State of Bihar & Ors (C.W.J.C. No. 11936 of 2011), the petitioner also should be given the same benefit.

For the aforesaid purpose, this Court directs the petitioner to file a representation before the Collector, Sitamarhi (respondent No. 6) within a period of four weeks from today, who shall verify the facts and pass necessary orders after finding that the case of the petitioner is similar to that of Bipin Bihari Roy versus The State of Bihar & Ors (C.W.J.C. No. 11936 of 2011).

If the claim of the petitioner is found to be tenable, necessary sequel order shall be passed within a period of six weeks thereafter.

With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Shageer/-

U			
---	--	--	--

