

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6129 of 2019

=====

Devanti Devi Wife of Late Devnandan Prasad Resident of Mohalla-
Sorakothi, P.O. and P.S.-Silao Nagar Panchyat- Silao Ward No. 6, District-
Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Nalanda at Biharsharif.
3. The District Supply Officer, Nalanda at Biharsharif.
4. The Senior Additional Collector, Nalanda at Biharsharif.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Rajgir, Nalanda.
6. The District Co-operative Officer, Nalanda.
7. The Supply Inspector, Silao, District- Nalanda.
8. Sapna Kumari Wife of Sagar Kumar, Resident of Village and P.O. and P.S.
Silao, Nagar Panchayat, Silao, Ward No. 6, District- Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Yugal Kishore, Sr. Adv
	:	Mr. Satya Ranjan Singh, Adv
	:	Ms. Seema Kumari, Adv
For the State	:	Mr.Anisul Haque, A. C to A.A.G.-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-11-2019

Heard learned Senior Counsel representing the

petitioner and the learned counsel for the State.

2. Petitioner in this case is aggrieved by the decision as contained in Annexure- '5' to the writ application by which her request for grant of licence under the Public Distribution System Shop on compassionate ground has been rejected by the District Level Selection Committee in its meeting held on 10.02.2018.



3. Two grounds have been provided for rejection for her application. The first ground is that her son is engaged in a Government Service and the second ground is that the petitioner does not fulfill the eligibility condition of having a minimum educational qualification of being a matriculate.

4. It is not in dispute that the Bihar Targeted PDS (Control) Order, 2016 specifically provides the minimum eligibility condition of there being a matriculate. The fact that her son is also engaged in a Govt. Service has not been denied. Therefore, this Court finds no reason to interfere with the impugned order.

5. The writ application has, thus, no merit. It is dismissed accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Nitesh/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	20.11.2019
Transmission Date	

