

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 7342 of 2019

=====

Madhurani Prasad, aged about 68 years, Sex – Female, Wife of Late Ajay Kumar Sinha, Resident of Mohalla- New Area, Beside Gyan Bharti School, M.G. Road, Aurangabad, P.S.- and District- Aurangabad (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Director, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Vice-Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
4. The Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
5. The Principal, Ram Lakhan Singh Yadav College, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr Mukul Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr Madhaw Pd Yadav, GP 23 with Mr Rajesh Kr Sinha, AC to GP 23
For the University	:	Mr Shivendra Kishore, Sr Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

3 22-05-2019 Matter has been listed today in the Summer Vacations as the learned counsel for the petitioner was desirous for consideration of his case during vacation.

The slip for listing was filed pursuant to notice dated 08th May 2019, published prior to the vacation.

Matter is, accordingly, taken up for consideration as both parties are present and willing to assist the Court for disposal of the matter.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the



respondent-State.

It is submitted by the petitioner's counsel that upon her superannuation on 31.12.2012, the petitioner has completed the formalities, however, her retiral dues have not been paid. Petitioner claims to have retired as Correspondence Clerk from Ram Lakhan Singh Yadav College, Aurangabad which is constituent college of respondent-Magadh University.

Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the University submits that he has no instructions in the matter till date.

However, since petitioner's dues have to be considered by the authorities and from the pleadings on record, it is not apparent that petitioner has made any claim before the authorities pursuant to letter dated 05.12.2012 issued by Principal of the College, interest would be served by allowing the petitioner to approach respondent No 4 by filing a detailed representation.

In the circumstances, parties are in agreement that in respect of the claim, petitioner should approach respondent No 4 by a detailed claim/representation. This Court would observe that the representation, which is to be filed by the petitioner, is required to be disposed of expeditiously and without any undue delay.



Writ petition stands disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

