

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7024 of 2019

=====

Captain Iman Daughter of Mr. Ram Babu Kumar, Sankritya, Resident of Flat
No. - 402, Royal Retreat, New Patliputra Colony, P.S. - Patliputra, District -
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE UNION OF INDIA through the chairman of National Bank for
Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD), Head Office, Mumbai.
2. The Chairman, National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development
(NABARD), Head Officer, Mumbai.
3. The Chief General Manager, HRMD, NABARD, Head Office, Mumbai.
4. The Chiief General Manager, NABARD, Bihar Reginal Office, Maurya
Loak Complex, Block-B, Dak Bungla Road, Patna
5. The Deputy General Manager (Dept. of Premises, Security and
Procurement), NABARD, Bihar Reginal Office, Maurya Lok Complex,
Block - B, Dak Bungla Road, Patna.
6. The Deputy General Manager (Dept. of Human Resource Management),
NABARD, Bihar Regional Office, Maurya Lok Complex, Block-B, Dak
Bungla Road, Patna.
7. The Assistant General Manager (Dept. of Premises, Security and
Procurement), NABARD, Bihar Regional Office, Maurya Lok Complex,
Block-B, Das Bungla Road, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lakshmi Kant Tiwary, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D.Sanjay (ASG)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-04-2019



The petitioner, who was serving as Assistant Manager (Protocol and Security Service) in National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (*in short NABARD*), is aggrieved by the order dated 13.06.2018 passed by the Chief General Manager/Competent Authority, NABARD and such order being communicated to the petitioner by the Deputy General Manager, NABARD by order dated 13.06.2018, whereby his request for his confirmation in service has been rejected. Against the aforesaid decision, the petitioner has preferred appeal before the Chairman, NABARD on 28.06.2018.

The aforesaid appeal has not yet been decided.

Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, learned senior Advocate for the petitioner has limited his argument to the request for a direction to the Chairman, NABARD (respondent no. 2) to dispose off such appeal within a reasonable period of time, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

The prayer appears to be reasonable. The respondent no. 2 is directed to dispose off the appeal preferred by the



petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J.)

Sarvesh/Ranjeet

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV	N/A
Uploading Date	07.05.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

