

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6971 of 2015

=====

Mantu Kumar S/O Rambabu Ray. R/O - Vill. P.O.- Choraawan, P.S.-
Baniyapur, District - Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director-General of Police, Patna Bihar.
3. The Inspector-General of Police, Patna Bihar.
4. The Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Patna Bihar.
5. The Central Selection Board of Constables through its Secretary, Bank
Harding Road, Near Sachivalay
6. The Secretary, Central Selection of Board of Constables, Bank Harding
Road, Near Sachivalaya Halt,
7. The Joint Secretary, Central Selection of Board of Constables, Bank Harding
Road, Near Sachivalaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajkumar Rajesh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sushil Kumar Singh, AC to AAG 10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-04-2019

Heard counsel for the petitioner and the respondents.

The petitioner was an applicant for appointment on the post of constable pursuant to Advertisement no. 1 of 2014. Petitioner has annexed his admit card for written examination (Annexure 1) as well as call letter for physical verification (Annexure 2). The final result for the process of selection was published thereafter where the petitioner was not declared successful and has not been selected.

The claim of the petitioner in his representation dated



06.04.2015 (Annexure 5 of the writ petition) as well as pleadings in the writ petition are to the effect that the cut of date for the qualification of intermediate 01.01.2014 would not be made the basis of rejecting the petitioner's candidature since by the time the result was issued, his intermediate result has been published.

Such submission is not tenable. If the cut of date 01.01.2014 was uniformly prescribed for acquiring the degree of intermediate then a certificate subsequent to that date cannot be considered in support of petitioner's candidature ignoring the cut of date. The petitioner was not declared successful in the process of selection and such relaxation of uniformly applicable criteria cannot be granted to the petitioner.

Writ petition is devoid of merit and the same is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Prakash/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

