

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14812 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2018 Thana- DEHRI TOWN District- Rohtas

1. VIKASH KUMAR @ MANTU CHOUDHARY @ HAGUL Son of Bihari Choudhary Resident of Village - Sheoganj, P.S.- Dehri, District - Rohtas.
2. Manoj Kumar @ Monu Yadav Son of Nand Kishore Singh Resident of Village - Balgovind Bigha, P.S.- Dehri, District- Rohtas.
3. Abhishek Kumar @ Ranjay Kumar @ Ranjan Kumar Son of Yugul Kishore Prasad Resident of Village- Balgovind Bigha, P.S.- Dehri, District- Rohtas.
4. Bikki Kumar @ Vikash Kumar @ Vikki Kumar @ Vikki @ Banbilar Son of Bhim Singh Resident of Village - Pali, P.S. - Dehri, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Avinash Shekhar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Syed Ehteshamuddin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 18-06-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State as also the learned counsel for the informant.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Dehri (Town) P.S. Case No.18 of 2018 registered under Sections 341, 342, 323, 324 and 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dehri.

The accusation is that 9 persons, named in the F.I.R., including the petitioners caused injury to the informant. The informant was rushed to the hospital in an unconscious stage.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that, in fact, no injury was sustained by the informant, as



alleged in the F.I.R., that is the reason, the informant was rushed at the private hospital and got managed to prepare the injury report mentioning the injury, as sustained by him, in grievous in nature.

Learned counsel for the informant submits that the three injuries, as found on the person of the informant, are grievous in nature, which would appear from paragraph-35 of the case diary.

Having considered the facts and the circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioners, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail stands rejected. However, the petitioners are directed to surrender before the trial court and pray for regular bail, which shall be considered by the trial court in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

