

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 6823 of 2015

Indu Devi @ Indu Kumari, Wife of Mukesh Kumar. Resident of village - Parsada, Post Office - Kaithahar, Police Station - Rajpur, District - Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through its Commisspner-cum-Secretary of the Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat Building, Patna – 800 015
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme, Bihar, Indira Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Buxar.
4. The District Programme Officer, Buxar.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Rajpur, Buxar.
6. The Block Development Officer, Rajpur, District - Buxar.
7. The Mukhiya, Kaithahar Kala Gram Panchayat, Rajpur Block, District - Buxar.
8. The Panchayat Sevak, Kaithahar Kala Gram Panchayat, Rajpur Block, District - Buxar.
9. Champa Devi, Wife of Shri Nivas Choudhary. Anganbari Sevika, Anganbari Centre Code No. 202, Parasada, Kaithahar Kala Gram Panchayat, Rajpur Block, District - Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

For the Petitioner/s : MrAtul Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr Rewti Kant Raman, AC to SC XI

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 09-04-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent-State.

2 Petitioner, respondent No 9 as well as others were candidates for selection as Angan Bari Sevika for Angan Bari Center Code 202, Parasada under Kaithahar Kala Gram Panchayat in the district of Buxar. Being the second empanelled candidate,



petitioner assailed selection of respondent No 9 alleging that her claim was based on false and forged certificates, in support of her educational testimonials. Stand has been taken in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents No 3 to 5 that the certificate in question has been sent to the appropriate Authority for verification but till date, the verification report has not been received. The writ petition was filed in the year, 2015. Stand, as taken note of herein above, was placed on record in the proceedings by way of an affidavit sworn in May, 2016.

3 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till date, respondents have not concluded the process of verification of educational testimonials submitted by respondent No 9.

4 This Court, in these facts and circumstances, would direct respondent No 4 to take appropriate steps and take a final decision in the matter in accordance with law and after affording due opportunity to respondent N 9, within a period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

5 Writ petition stands disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	09.04.2019
Transmission Date	NA

