

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5785 of 2019

1. Raju Kumar Yadav, Son of Subedar Singh Yadav, resident of Village- Jamurna, P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Bhabua.
2. Kamlesh Kumar Son of Subhash Ram, resident of Village- Chhewari, P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.
3. Rajesh Kumar Yadav Son of Kishori Singh Yadav, resident of Village- Jamurna, P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.
4. Dikesh Kumar Yadav Son of Gungai Singh Yadav, Resident of Village- Jamurna, P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.
5. Ajit Kumar Yadav, Son of Ram Nivash Singh Yadav, Resident of Village- Khorhara, P.S. Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.
6. Sanjay Kumar, Son of Dina Nath Ram, Resident of Village- Gorasara, P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.
7. Ram Dular Pal, Son of Hari Narayan Pal, Resident of Village- Pipariyan, P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment) Patna through its Secretary.
2. The Chairman of the Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment) Patna.
3. The Secretary of the Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment) Patna.
4. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Director General of Bihar, Home Guard Battalion, Patna.
6. The Coomandant, Bihar Home Guard Battalion, Patna.
7. The Public Grievance Redressal Officer-cum-District Commandant, Bihar Home Guard Battalion, Kaimur at Bhabua.
8. The District Commandant, Bihar Home Guard Battalion, Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Niranjana Kumar Ms. Smita Prasad Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Md. Nadim Seraj (GP5) Mr. Dhurendra Kumar, AC to GP-5
For the CSBC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT



Date : 12-04-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

2. The petitioners are aggrieved by the fact that even though they were declared successful in Physical Evaluation Test, they have not been appointed as Home Guards for which they had applied against the advertisement which was issued in the year 2011, despite the posts remaining vacant till date.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn the attention of this Court to an order passed by the Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Kaimur at Bhabhua, who has taken note of the fact that as against 295 vacancies, only 253 persons have been appointed as Home Guards, who also have not been sent for training. While disposing off the complaint of the petitioners, it has also observed that after the successful candidates obtain training and get posted, efforts should be made to fill up the rest of the posts for which advertisement had been issued.

4. Strengthened by this order, the petitioners have filed representation before the Commandant General, Home



Guards, Government of Bihar, Patna but such representation has not been responded to.

5. Under the aforesaid circumstances, this Court directs the petitioners to make a fresh representation before the Commandant General, Home Guard, Bihar, Patna within a period of four weeks from today, who, on receipt of the same, shall look into the matter and if desirable would pass necessary orders in accordance with law towards taking of steps for filling up the vacant posts which was advertised.

With the aforesaid observation / direction, this petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	15.04.2019
Transmission Date	

