

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14658 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-262 Year-2018 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka \*

1. Samita Devi, (female) aged about 52 years, Wife of Udho Gor
  2. Udho Gor, (Male) aged about 55 years Son of Late Chunchu Gor
  3. Balwir Kumar Gor @ Balbir Gor (Male), 24 years, Son of Udho Gor
- All are resident of Village - Bhimsen, P.S.- Amarpur, Distt - Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Brij Nandad Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      12-03-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 262 of 2018 registered for offences under sections 328, 304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

From the F.I.R., it appears that the son-in-law of the Informant, namely, Nirmal Kumar Gor and her daughter namely, Sakshi Kumari had entered into love marriage 10 months ago and both the persons consumed poison. The husband survived but, Sakshi Kumari died.

The petitioners are mother-in-law, father-in-law, and Dewar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



they are the family members, both have entered into love marriage and what happened between them, is not known to the petitioners. He further submits that the husband has already been granted bail.

Looking to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Banka in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 262 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also subject to condition that whenever the police will call the petitioners for investigation/interrogation, they will remain present and if they would not present themselves, the privilege of grant of anticipatory bail shall be deemed to have been canceled.

**(Shivaji Pandey, J)**

rishi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

