

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5848 of 2019

=====

Md. Abdul Moquim, aged about 38 years, Gender-Male, S/o Abdul Karim,
Resident of Choudhary Mohalla- Milan Chowk, P.S. and District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Excise Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector Cum District Magistrate, Katihar.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Katihar.
4. The S.H.O., Katihar Muffasil Police Station, District- Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar (SC11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 22-04-2019

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State informs that not only the three persons riding the vehicle were found in inebriated condition, there is recovery of 30 liters of country liquor from the vehicle in question. He further informs that there is no mention about the initiation of confiscation proceeding in the writ petition.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the Smart E Rickshaw bearing Chasis No. M9TCBJSB18D000296, which has been seized in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 254 of 2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 37 (b) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.



Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the designated Court below with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding, if any, and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a *Panchanama* would be got prepared by the designated Court below wherein the



photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said *Panchanama* in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above. This release would, however, be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding.

With the observations/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Nasimul/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	26-04-2019
Transmission Date	N/A

