

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5838 of 2019

1. Mahesh Chandra Roy, Age 74, Male, Son of late Ramsagar Roy Resident of Shahwajpur, Bishunpura, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
2. Pawan Kumar Ray, Age 39, Male, Son of Dev Bachan Ray Resident of Shahwajpur, Bishunpura, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
3. Awadhesh Kumar Ray, Age 63, Male, Son of late Ram Palak Rai Resident of Shahwajpur, Bishunpura, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
4. Upendra Kumar Ray, Age 48, Male, Son of late Ravindra Rau Resident of Shahwajpur, Bishunpura, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
5. Pinki Devi , Age 36, Female, W/o Tirupati Rai Resident of Shahwajpur, Bishunpura, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
6. Ramesh Prasad Singh , Age 58, Male, Son of Devendra Singh Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
7. Ram Chhapit Mahto Age 63, Male, Son of late Mahanth Mahto Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
8. Jaldhar Prasad Singh, Age 72, Male, Son of late Inder Singh Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
9. Amod Singh, , Age 54, Male, Son of Tipan Singh Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
10. Raman Ravindra Kumar, Age 67, Male, Son of late Bansropan Singh Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
11. Vijay Singh , Age 62, Male, Son of late Ganga Singh Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
12. Kamla Sharan Singh, Age 78, Male, Son of late Ram Lakhan Singh Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
13. Ravindra Kumar Singh , Age 71, Male, Son of late Murat Lal Singh Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
14. Diwakar Tiwari, Age 71, Male, Son of late Ram Prasad Tiwari Resident of Village and Post- Musepur, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
15. Barun Kumar Ram, Age 45, Male, Son of late Janak Ram Resident of Village and P.o. Kutulpur(Musepur), P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
16. Harendra Rai , Age 51, Male, Son of late Shiv Lal Rai Resident of Village and P.o. Kutulpur(Musepur), P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
17. Vidyanand Rai, Age 71, Male, Son of late Basudeo Rai Resident of Village and P.o. Kutulpur(Musepur), P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
18. Ram Vinay Rai, Age 36, Male, Son of late Munshi Rai Resident of Village and P.o. Kutulpur(Musepur), P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
19. Upendra Rai, Age 40, Male, Son of Sarjug Rai Resident of Village and P.o. Kutulpur(Musepur), P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.



20. Vinod Kumar, Age 42, Male, Son of late Samaldeo Rai Resident of Village and P.o. Kutulpur(Musepur), P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
21. Sriram Singh@ Mahto, Age 75, Male, Son of Krishna Mahto Resident of Village and P.o. Kutulpur(Musepur), P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.
22. Ram Pravesh Mahto, Age 70, Male, Son of late Pana Mahto Resident of Village and P.o. Kutulpur(Musepur), P.S. Bihta, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, New Delhi.
2. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Patna.
4. The General Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Pipeline Division, Noida(U.P.).
5. The Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Patna Motihari-Baitalpur Pipeline Project, Office at House No. 260, Gosai Tola, P.S. Patliputra, District- Patna.
6. The Project Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Patna Motihari- Baitalpur Pipeline Project, Office at House No. 260, Gosai Tola, P.S. Patliputra, District- Patna.
7. N.K. Panda, General Manager(Construction) IOCL, PMBPL, Nishikunj, Basant Vihar Colony, Boring Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Lalit Kishore, AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

2 26-03-2019 The villagers not being satisfied by the compensation amount now turn around to question the laying down of the pipelines which application cannot be in the public interest rather is individual based and if the petitioner so



aggrieved by the act of the Corporation, the remedy lies elsewhere and not by way of public interest litigation.

With the observation above, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Bibhash

U			
---	--	--	--

