

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4741 of 2019

Chhatish Mandal, son of Javani Mandal, resident of Village Mohanpur, Ward No. 5, Police Station- Rupauli, District- Purnia.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Excise Department, Government of Bihar.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Excise Department, Purnia.
3. The District Magistrate, Purnia.
4. The Superintendent of Police, District- Purnia.
5. Officer-In- Charge, Police Station- Tikapatti District- Purnia.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vikram Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar, SC-11

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 17-05-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

This application has been filed seeking provisional release of the Motorcycle bearing registration No. BR11AA-0920, Chasis No. MBLJA06AMG9J15488 seized in connection with Tikapatti P.S. Case No.105 of 2018 registered under section 272 and 273 of the Indian Penal Code and section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Apart from a prayer for release of the vehicle the petitioner has also prayed for quashing of the order dated 02.11.2018 passed by the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Purnea in Excise Case No.238 of 2018 by which a direction to



confiscate the vehicle has been passed by the District Magistrate being the Confiscating Authority.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present he would not be pressing the relief for quashing of the order dated 02.11.2018 passed by the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Purnea in Excise Case No. 238 of 2018, he, however, submits that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to challenge the confiscation order in an appropriate jurisdiction by filing an appeal before the Commissioner within a period of 30 days from today.

In the circumstance noted where final orders have been passed in the confiscation proceedings, we grant liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order of confiscation before the appellate authority within a period of 30 days from today. In case such an appeal is preferred within the aforesaid period together with an application for condonation of delay, the appellate authority shall consider the same keeping in mind that the petitioner was prosecuting his remedy before this Court and the appeal shall be heard on its own merit and disposed of expeditiously.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, submits that because the appellate authority has no power to pass an interim order of release, he would press this application for a provisional release of the vehicle in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that 4.250 litres of country made liquor has been seized; the vehicle is lying under open sky in the Police Station during last 10 months and if the release is not allowed, it would turn into a junk. He submits that the State is not going to gain by the vehicle turning junk. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is willing to



provide such surety and undertakings which may be required to protect the interest of the petitioner as well the State, during the pendency of the appeal.

Learned Counsel for the State is present and submits that in the given facts and circumstances of this case if at all the Court is willing to consider provisional release of the vehicle then interest of the State is required to be protected.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the circumstances set-forth above as well as taking note of the nature of seizure made, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on the production of the document of ownership and registration in his name before the District Magistrate, Purnea (Confiscating Authority) with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document.

The petitioner while submitting the surety bond shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:-

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the appeal and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle a Panchnama would be prepared by the confiscating authority wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner



and the same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall undertake not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

If the petitioner fails to present an appeal within 30 days as given above, the order of provisional release shall stand withdrawn and the Confiscating Authority shall be at liberty to proceed in accordance with law.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties along with the undertakings as stated above. This release would, however, be subject to the order passed in appeal.

The writ petition is allowed with the directions/observations above.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

skpathak/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	22.05.2019
Transmission Date	NA

