

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4917 of 2019

=====

Tulsi Kumari, wife of Rajesh Sahni, Resident of Vill.- Panchayat, Pataili West, Ward No.3, Block and P.S.- Ujiyarpur, Distt.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Service, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
4. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
5. The District Welfare Officer, Samastipur
6. The District Programme Officer (I.C.D.S.), Samastipur.
7. The Child Development Project Officer, Ujiyarpur, Distt- Samastipur.
8. The Mukhiya, Vill.- Panchayat Pataili West, Block / P.S.- Ujiyarpur, Distt.- Samastipur.
9. Rubi Kumari, wife of Mukesh Kumar, resident of Vill.- Korbaddha, Pataili, P.O. and P.S.- Ujiyarpur, Distt.- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rana Sanjay Kumar Singh, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prashant Pratap (GP-2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-03-2019

The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment of private respondent No. 9 on the post of *Anganbari Sevika* at Centre No. 284, Ward No. 3, Block-Ujiyarpur in the District of Samastipur.

2. The learned advocate for the petitioner, at the outset, has intimated to this Court that a complaint in that regard has been preferred before the District Programme



Officer, Samastipur (respondent No. 6) about seven months ago but the same has not been acted upon. No notice has been issued to the petitioner or other stake holders, giving an impression to the petitioner that District Programme Officer, Samastipur (respondent No. 6) is sitting tight over such representation and is not willing to apply himself to the facts of this case.

3. Under such circumstances, the learned advocate for the petitioner has limited and confined his prayer for issuance of a direction to the District Programme Officer, Samastipur (respondent No. 6) to dispose off her representation, which is pending before him, within a reasonable period of time.

4. The prayer made on behalf of the petitioner appears to be genuine. If any complaint/representation has been lodged/filed before the statutory authority, that ought to be disposed off and without any delay.

5. In order to facilitate the entire process of disposal of the complaint/representation of the petitioner, he is directed to make a fresh complaint/representation before



the District Programme Officer, Samastipur (respondent No. 6) within a period of two weeks from today.

6. On receipt of such complaint/representation, the District Programme Officer, Samastipur (respondent No. 6) shall, after hearing all the concerned stake holders/necessary parties, pass orders in accordance with law within a further period of six weeks thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Shageer/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	03/04/2019

