

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4894 of 2019

=====

Vikky Kumar @ Vicky Kumar aged about 22 years (Male) S/o Sadhu Prasad
Resident of Village-Telhara,P.S. Telhara,Dist.-Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Excise and Registration Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Nalanda
4. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Nalanda
5. The Officer-in-Charge Telhara P.S., Nalanda
6. The Investigation Officer Telhara,Nalanda

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Upendra Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Prasad (GP7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 29-03-2019

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
and the State.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of his
Hero Honda Splendor Pro motorcycle bearing registration



no. BR-21J-2270, which has been seized in connection with Telhara P.S. Case No. 154 of 2018 for the offences punishable under Section 37(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

The allegation against the petitioner is of drunken driving and in such connection, the vehicle has been seized. Undisputedly, there is no recovery from the vehicle as it is also confirmed from the seizure list.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the legal positions settled by this Court in the case of ***Diwakar Kumar Singh Vs. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Excise Department & Ors.*** reported in **2018 (3) PLJR 403**, we direct for release of the vehicle in question in favour of the petitioner within a week on furnishing/production of the document showing the ownership of the vehicle and registration certificate in the name of the petitioner supporting the claim of the petitioner before the designated Court below as because neither the F.I.R. nor the seizure list reflects any reason for proceeding to be continued against the vehicle in question.

Since nothing has been recovered from the vehicle, there is no question of submission of any surety bond in



view of the judgment of the Hon’ble Division Bench of this Court.

With this observation/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

mcv/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	10.04.2019
Transmission Date	NA

