

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17553 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-2017 Thana- MORO District- Darbhanga

-
1. RAM SIRESHT RAY @ RAM SRESTHA RAY @ RAM SHRESHT RAY
Son of Bhikham Ray Resident of Village - Moro, P.s.- Moro, District -
Darbhanga, Pin -847106, Bihar
 2. Siya Prasad Ray Son of Late Matkant Ray Resident of Village - Moro, P.s.-
Moro, District - Darbhanga, Pin -847106, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prem Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umesh Lal Verma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 26-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for
the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences alleged
under Sections 147, 149, 447, 448, 341,323, 325, 307,354, 379, 504
IPC registered in connection with Moro P.S. Case No. 47/2017.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated
and there is case and counter case between the parties. After due
investigation by the police charge has been submitted, but the
petitioners have not been sent up for trial in respect of the offences
alleged under Section 325 and 307 IPC. It is submitted that no injury
has been caused to the informant's side. The petitioners claim clean
antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioners arrest or
surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of
communication of this order, let the above named petitioners be
released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand)
each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of
learned CJM, Darbhanga, in connection with Moro P.S. Case No.



47/2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and also subject to the following further conditions:

- i. That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioners.
- ii. That the petitioners shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.
- iii. That the petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make themselves available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.
- iv. The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

