

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14430 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-676 Year-2017 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Jamui

PINTU YADAV, Son of Late Gajo Yadav, Resident of Village- Dahiyari, P.S.-
Sono, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Puja Devi, Wife of Pintu Yadav Resident of Village- Dahiyari, P.S.-Sono,
District- Jamui. At present Resident of Wariyapur, P.S.- Charkapatthar,
District- Jamui.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uday Chand Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 15-07-2019 Petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 676(C) of 2017 instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 498A, 504, 379 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation as per complaint petition is that for demand of Rs.1 lac the petitioner subjected the complainant-wife to torture and cruelty and ousted her from the house.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that there is no specific allegation attributed against him and moreover he is still ready to keep her with dignity and care.

On perusal of the record it appears that earlier notice was issued to opposite party No.2 but none had appeared on her behalf although registered notice has been received by her and vide order



dated 3.7.2019 the matter was directed to be posted on 10.7.2019. Today also none has appeared on behalf of opposite party No.2 nor any Vakalatnama has been filed.

Heard learned APP also.

In view of above facts and circumstances, let petitioner, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from the receipt of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jamui, in connection with Complaint Case No. 676(C) of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. and further condition is that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned and further condition is that if opposite party No.2 is ready to reside with the petitioner, he shall have no objection to that and he will keep her with dignity and care, otherwise opposite party No.2 is at liberty to move for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner before the court below itself.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

