

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4849 of 2019

=====

Ramesh Kumar @ Ramesh Mukhiya S/o Jado Mukhiya @ Yadav Mukhiya
Resident of Village-Chailaha Bin Toli,P.S. Banjariya,Dist.-E.Champaran
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar and Ors through the Principle Secretary,Registration,Excise and Prohibition Department,Govt. of Bihar,Patna
2. The Principle Secretary, Registration,Excise and Prohibition Department,Govt. of Bihar,Patna
3. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate,East Champaran,Motihari
4. The Superintendent of police, East Champaran,Motihari
5. The Superintendent of Excise, East Champaran,Motihari

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar (Sc11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 29-03-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

This application has been filed seeking provisional release of the vehicle (Motorcycle) bearing Registration No. BR-22AE-5598 which has been seized in connection with Turkauliya (Banjariya) P.S. Case No. 519 of 2018 for the offence punishable under Sections 272 and 273 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per the seizure list 13 litres of country made liquor have been recovered from the vehicle in question. It is submitted that there is no information about confiscation proceeding.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the vehicle in question is lying under open sky in the Police Station and if release is not allowed the vehicle is likely to become a junk and in such circumstance, the State is not going to gain anyway in confiscation proceeding. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is willing to provide such surety and undertakings which may be required to protect the interest of the State during the pendency of the confiscation.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the given facts and circumstances where no confiscation proceeding is presently pending, let the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on the petitioner's producing the document of ownership and registration in his name before designated Court below with two sureties (one local) to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future:

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.



(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a Panchanama would be got prepared by the designated Court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties along with the undertakings as stated above. This release, however, would be subject to the final order passed in the confiscation proceeding, if any.

The writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Brajesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	09.04.2019
Transmission Date	

