

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 4860 of 2016

=====

Ranjan Sinha, S/o Sri Bipin Bihari Sinha, R/o A/142, Lohianagar, P.S.-
Kankarbagh, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, the Government of Bihar,
New Secretariat Building, Patna – 800 001
3. The Director, SCERT (State Council of Education Research and Training)
Mahendru, PS- Sultanganj, Dist - Patna
4. The Director, Administration-cum-Special Secretary, Department of
Education, the Government of Bihar, New Secretariat Building, Patna – 800
001
5. The Secretary, Department of the Ministry of Finance, The Government of
Bihar.
6. The Chief Accountant General, the Government of India, Bir Chand Patel
Marg, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr Ravi Shankar Gupta, Advocate
For the S t a t e	:	Mr Kumar Kamal Nayan, Advocate
For the A G	:	Mr Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

2 20-06-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this
Court should direct respondent-authorities to grant the petitioner
benefit of Assured Career Progression/Modified Assured Career
Progression since the same has been granted to other similarly
situated as the petitioner including Shri Subhash Chandra Jha by
counting the services earlier rendered by him in State Institute



of Education Technology prior to its dissolution.

For the said benefit, petitioner has approached respondent No 3 by making his representations which are contained in Annexure 5 series.

Petitioner's counsel submits that though benefits have been extended to other including Shri Subhash Chandra Jha under the order of the Higher Education Department dated 15.05.2018 (Annexure 11 to the supplementary counter affidavit), the same benefit has not been extended to the petitioner.

Writ petition is, therefore, disposed of with a direction to respondent No 3 to take final decision and if the petitioner's claim is similar to that of Subhash Chandra Jha, needless to say, the petitioner will be extended the same benefits by respondent-authorities.

Let final decision be taken by respondent No 3 within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

