

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4606 of 2019

=====

Chhotelal Prasad, aged about 22 years, male, son of Punit Mahto, resident of
Village-Ashna Bagh, P.S. Nawalsahi, District-Kodarma, Jharkhand

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Excise Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Nawada
3. The Superintendent of Police, Nawada
4. The Excise Superintendent, Nawada
5. The Excise Inspector, Nawada

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Man Mohan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Prasad (GP7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 29-03-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the state.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the
Tata Sumo Victa vehicle bearing Registration No. JH02G5503,
which has been seized in connection with G.O. Case No. 337 of
2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and
56(b) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner
that confiscation proceeding is pending and the vehicle is lying



under the open sky in the police station. The seizure list reflects the seizure of 37.5 liters IMFL.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the District Magistrate, Nawada with two sureties (one local) to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and



when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a *Panchanama* would be got prepared by the confiscating authority wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said *Panchanama* in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above. This release would, however, be subject to finalization of the confiscation proceeding.

With the observations/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Nasimul/Shailendra

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	09-04-2019
Transmission Date	N/A

