

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15408 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-632 Year-2018 Thana- BARACHATTI District- Gaya

Umesh Das, aged about 36 years, Male, Son of Manki Das R/o village-
Goshain Pesra, P.S- Barachatti, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bal Mukund Prasad Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioner is apprehending his
arrest in connection with Barachatti P.S. Case No. 632 of 2018
registered for offences under sections 341, 323, 307, 504,
506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the present case, the alleged incident has taken
place on 29.8.2018 but, the complaint petition has been filed
after delay of one month i.e. on 23.9.2018.

The allegation has been made that the accused
persons including the petitioner have made injury on the
Informant by Tangi, whereafter, the victim was admitted in the
Hospital and was under treatment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



alleged injury is simple in nature and earlier the petitioner has filed an application against the present Informant about assault made upon him but, the police has not lodged the case.

Since the First Information Report has been lodged after a delay of one month, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sherghati, Gaya in connection with Barachatti P.S. Case No. 632 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also subject to condition that whenever the police will call the petitioner for investigation/interrogation, he will remain present and if he would not present himself, the privilege of grant of anticipatory bail shall be deemed to have been canceled.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

