

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12921 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-149 Year-2018 Thana- BHAGWANGANJ District- Patna

1. Sudama Ravidas, aged about 35 years, Male.
 2. Devendra Ravidas, aged about 60 years, Male.
 3. Chandrika Rabidas, aged about 70 years, Male.
 4. Ram Lakhan Ravidas, aged about 45 years, Male.
- All are sons of Dhuri Ravidas, R/o village- Sarfabad, Baliari, P.S- Bhagwanganj, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 06-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bhagwanganj P.S. Case No. 149 of 2018 registered for offences under sections 341, 323, 324, 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The petitioners are agnates of the Complainant. The property is the cause of dispute between the parties which ended with altercation and assault.

The court below has recorded that there is no injury report.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in



fact no altercation, as alleged, has taken place. The order of the court below itself reflects that the petitioner no.1 has not caused injury by Garasa.

Looking to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Masaurhi in connection with Bhagwanganj P.S. Case No. 149 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also subject to condition that whenever the police will call the petitioners for investigation/interrogation, they will remain present and if they would not present themselves, the privilege of grant of anticipatory bail shall be deemed to have been canceled.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

