

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3330 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year- Thana- District- Patna

=====

Kumar Anand, son of Late Dr. Anil Kumar Singh, resident of Mohalla-Buddhijivi Colony, Manju Niketan, Road No. 8, 70 feet Road, P.S. Beur, District Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
2. The Senior Superintendent of Police Patna.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Purnea.
4. District Magistrate, Patna
5. District Magistrate, Purnia.
6. Dy. Superintendent of Police (Administration), Patna Bihar
7. The Dy. S.P. , Purnia Bihar
8. The Station House Officer K. Hat, Dist. Purnia.
9. The Station House Officer, Barhara Kothi, District- Purnia.
10. Sri Rishi Kuamr Singh, son of Late Udit Narayan Singh (The Retired Junior Engineer, Department of Electricity Board), resident of Village - Sirsia, at Presently residing at C/o Ganesh Mohan Chaudhary , Honda Gali, Near Ford Company Chowk P.S. - K. Hat, District Purnia.
11. Smt. Jayanti, wife of Kumar Anand and daughter of Sri Rishi Kumar Singh (The Retired Junior Engineer, Department of Electricity Board), resident of Village - Sirsia, at Presently residing at C/o Ganesh Mohan Chaudhary, honda Gali, Near Ford Company Chowk P.S. - K. Hat, District Purnia.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Siya Ram Sahi, Advocate Mr. Prabito Narayan Sharma, AC to AG
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Saket Tiwary, Advocate Mr. Saket Gupta, Advocate Mr. Anuraj Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 18-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned

counsel for the State of Bihar and Shri Saket Tiwary, learned

counsel appearing for the 11th respondent.

This petition for Habeas Corpus has been filed



alleging that the 11th respondent was under the unlawful confinement of her father.

Other allegations with regard to relationship being claimed by the petitioner have been made but it is not necessary to go into the same. The reason being that the 11th respondent has appeared in person before us as per our directions and has been identified by her counsel to be such who has stated before the Court and also endorsed her statement in the ordersheet that she is not under unlawful confinement and that she wishes to go and stay along with her father.

Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus petition stands consigned to records.

The Bank Draft, of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) that had been deposited by the petitioner, has been handed over to the 11th respondent and a receipt has been given by her counsel acknowledging the same.

(Amreshwar Pratap Sahi, CJ)

sanjeev/-sunil

(Anjana Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

