

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12267 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-309 Year-2018 Thana- KUCHAIKOTE District- Gopalganj

PINTU KUMAR SINGH @ PINTU SINGH, Son of Late Brij Kishore Singh,
Resident of Village - Khajuri, P.S.- Kuchaikot, District - Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Bihari Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

2 05-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP representing the State.

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Kuchaikot P.S. Case No. 309 of 2018/G.R.No.4629 of 2018 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 308, 323, 324, 341, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged that the son of the informant had plucked flower from the door of the petitioner, for this reason the petitioner had beaten him by fists and slaps. When the son of the informant narrated the story to his mother, she went there to ask the petitioner. It is alleged that the petitioner assaulted her and pushed her due to which she fell down on the ground. At this stage, when the informant rushed for her rescue, the accused persons assaulted the informant. This petitioner is said to have



given a farsa blow upon the informant which caused injury over the left ear and the blood started oozing out.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the entire prosecution version is a concocted story. It is stated that the injury is simple in nature.

Learned APP for the State is present and has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. It is stated that the petitioner had used a deadly weapon and had caused injury on the vital part of the body of the informant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case particularly the use of farsa and causing injury on the vital part of the body of the informant, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer is refused.

In case, the petitioner surrenders and prays for regular bail in the court below within a period of six weeks from today, the same shall be considered on its own merit and on the basis of the materials available on the record without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

