

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.5061 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12496 of 2017

-
-
1. Association Of Teachers Training College, Bihar registered office NH 28, Silorh, Near Marwan Chowk, P.S. Marwan, District- Muzaffarpur through its President Dr. Uma Shankar Roy
 2. Dr. Uma Shankar Roy, Son of Late Deo Narayan Roy Resident of 504, Angad Apartment, New Patliputra Colony, G.D. Mishra Patha, P.S. - Patliputra, District - Patna, present President Association of Teachers Training College, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through R. K. Mahajan the Principal Secretary, Department Of Education and ors Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. R.K. Mahajan, the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Arshad Firoz the Joint Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sushil Kumar, the Director , Higher Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. National Council of Teacher Education thorough its Member Secretary, Hans Bhawan, Bahadur Sah Jafar Marg, New Delhi.
6. The Vice Chancellor namely Dr. Amrendra Narayan Yadav, Bhim Rao Ambedkar University, Muzaffarpur.
7. The Registrar namely Karnal Retd. Ajay Kumar Ray, Bhim Rao Ambedkar University, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. P.K.Sahi, Senior Advocate Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate Mr. Suman Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey, AAG-15 Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, AC to AAG-15
For the B.R.A.B.Univ.	:	Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the NCTE	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate Mr. Ranvijay Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

6 24-04-2019

Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners submits that though the conduct of the opposite parties, amounting to contempt of this Court, is reprehensible, in view of their subsequent conduct and developments in the matter, no purpose will be served if the present contempt proceeding is allowed to continue.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the University and the officials of the University, has submitted, referring to unconditional apology tendered on their behalf, that the conduct of the said opposite parties may not be held to be intentional.

Considering the above noted submissions and other materials on record, the contempt proceedings stands dropped.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

