

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11654 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-235 Year-2018 Thana- PIRO District- Bhojpur

RANJAN YADAV @ RANJAN KUMAR, Son of Bhikhari Singh Resident of
Village-Laharabad, P.S.- Piro, District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shiv Prasad Gupta
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Meena Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 05-04-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail registered for
the offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Informant has alleged that while he was returning
on his JCB machine he was intercepted by four miscreants who
were sitting on motorcycles and looted ten thousand rupees on
strength of arms and thereafter fled away. He has further stated
that he could identify one of the accused who was addressed as
Chhokta by the other accused.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case.



He is not named in FIR. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Chootan Kumar, who has been granted bail by this Court as contained in Annexure-2 of the petition.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with **Piro P.S. Case No. 235 of 2018**, with following condition:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the



evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

manoj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

