

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11651 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-699 Year-2018 Thana- ARARIA District- Araria

LALOO KUMAR YADAV, Son of Parmeshwar Prasad Yadav Resident of
Village- Mahalgaon, Police Station- Mahalgaon, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Rana
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-04-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail registered for
the offences punishable under Section 379 of the Indian Penal
Code and later on Section 411 of the IPC was added.

Informant has lodged a written complaint that he had
come with his motorcycle in the bank and had parked it and
after finishing his work when he returned back, he found his
motorcycle to be stolen by some unknown thieves. FIR is
against unknown. It has been further alleged that said stolen
motorcycle was recovered from the possession of petitioner.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that



he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case only on the basis of suspicion. The stolen motorcycle was not recovered from his possession as is apparent from the seizure list. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 04.11.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with **Araria P.S. Case No. 699 of 2018**, with following condition:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the



evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that
case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for
cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

manoj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

