

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20181 of 2018

=====

Sharvan Rai @ Sravan Ray, Son of Ganesh Rai, Resident of Village/
Mohallah-Bishanpur Kewadhar, P.S.-Singhiya, District- Samastipur (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Registration, Excise & Prohibition Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate-Cum-Collector, Samastipur, District-Samastipur (Bihar).
3. The Assistant Excise Commissioner, Samastipur, District-Samastipur (Bihar).
4. The Superintendent of Excise, Samastipur, District-Samastipur (Bihar).
5. The Superintendent of Police, Samastipur, District-Sa,astipur (Bihar).
6. The Station House Officer, Hasanpur Police Station, District-Samastipur (Bihar).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kr.Sinha -GA1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 15-04-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the state.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the Hero
Honda Super Splendor Motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR-33P-
1059 AND Vivo YG6 Mobile Phone, which has been seized in
connection with Hasanpur P.S. Case No. 76 of 2018 for the offences
punishable under Sections 41, 47, 30(A), 37(A), 37(B), 38(1) and
38(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that



confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated and the vehicle is lying under the open sky in the police station. The seizure list reflects the seizure of 256 ml. of IMFL.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that while the mobile phone of the petitioner be released on production of the ownership paper, vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the designated Court below with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding, if any, and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.



(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a *Panchanama* would be got prepared by the designated Court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said *Panchanama* in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above. This release would, however, be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding.

With the observations/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Nasimul/Shailendra

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	24-04-2019
Transmission Date	N/A

